

On the Divinity of Jesus

If you want to acquaint yourself with this much debated issue, then you may go to -

http://www.housealtarnetwork.com/media/document/mf_1323599742_234.pdf

Jesus was totally separated unto God, His Father, since his conception and no trace of sin was then ever found in Him (Paul's Letter to the Hebrews can be consulted). The crux, however, is : Who put on flesh? The Father or the Son? "Son of God" was preached by the Apostles for a reason as this was indeed the identity of that very One who came to dwell among us (Phil. 2). And this is where the nature of God comes in for the nature of the Living Most High God speaks of utter and complete holiness!

Note that "son of man" as we clearly find in the Book of Ezekiel - the way the prophet was addressed by God - simply means man of dust or rather an ordinary human being. God then implicitly addresses the prophet as being human in every aspect, i.e. over against the Almighty who revealed Himself to the prophet in visions and appearances. We must therefore always keep in mind that a prophet, although he is separated (called) from the womb, always remains human and prophets are then indeed instruments of God, never God Himself although they may bring words of prophecy forth, e.g. "Thus says the Lord.....". It is then clearly God putting His words on the tongue of His separated (kadosh) prophet who is in God's sight still mere man.

Should we think of Jesus, He was indeed also described as "son of man" and rightly so as He indeed put on flesh - He came in the likeness of man (Phil. 2) to work salvation for us on the Cross - the perfect, holy, wholesome, divine sacrifice God had planned for His Son (Ps 40.8). But it is more appropriate to call Jesus "Son of God" as His nature was true to this depiction: God the Father brought the vessel within the virgin Mary forth by the Power of His Spirit (Jesus had no biological father as God was the One who created the body within the virgin and this is where we must understand and acknowledge the omnipotence of God Almighty. He can do EVERYTHING! (Refer to the quoted article, The Divinity of Jesus, on the blood system of the fetus). It is then only right to call Jesus the "Son of God" for He was the only One who was in the beginning brought forth by the Father - just as Jesus confessed before the Pharisees questioning Him (see Gospel of John) - AND when He came to sign the New and Better Covenant between Himself and God on the one side, over against fallen man on the other side, God Most High called forth a body for His Son in the virgin Mary and so that created, holy, "kadosh" blood, indeed the blood running in the veins of His Son made flesh, could bring about salvation for both the Jews and the Gentiles. This is the encompassing (FULL) redemption man was waiting for since especially the times of the prophet Isaiah (that prophet who prophesied on the coming of Messiah - taking together in one Book and ascribed to "Isaiah the prophet of God", the suffering Servant of God as well as His risen, messianic glory - which boils down to two phases in the history of the Jewish nation).

Denying the shed blood of Jesus is then to deny the New and Better Covenant for the old Covenant was indeed introduced by the blood of animals whereas the blood of the Son wrought ETERNAL salvation (deliverance) for mankind.

Salvation then hinges totally on a blood sacrifice and therefore not on mere words and the true blood sacrifice was indeed implicitly revealed to Abraham on Mt Moriah - when he was called to sacrifice his son (the message from God to Abraham was then that His only Son was going to be that very One who was going to bring eternal salvation in God's appointed time!).

Ester Blomerus

www.housealtarnetwork.com

October 30, 2014